

Koch, Kristine

From: John Toll <JohnT@windwardenv.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2015 12:08 PM
To: Koch, Kristine; Jen Woronets
Cc: 'Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com)'; Suzanne Replinger
Subject: RE: calculation workbook for the updated congener bioaccumulation models

Hi Kristine. We're planning to include the corrected files with the revised report. John

From: Koch, Kristine [mailto:Koch.Kristine@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2015 11:56 AM
To: Jen Woronets
Cc: 'Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com)'; Suzanne Replinger; John Toll
Subject: RE: calculation workbook for the updated congener bioaccumulation models

Will you be sending corrected files?

Kristine Koch
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-122
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705
(206)553-**8581** (fax)
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

From: Jen Woronets [<mailto:jworonets@anchorqea.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2015 10:19 AM
To: Koch, Kristine
Cc: 'Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com)'; Suzanne Replinger; Jen Woronets; John Toll
Subject: RE: calculation workbook for the updated congener bioaccumulation models

Kristine,

The following email is provided on behalf of John Toll.

Kristine,

There are two incorrect column references in the directions for using the PRG calculator:

*Directions: Enter desired target tissue level (TTL) in **column D (should be B)** for fillet tissue for a given dioxin or furan in mg/kg ww to calculate sediment PRGs.
Sediment PRGs (**column BF [should be BB]**) are calculated assuming water is equal to "background" (which is the lower of either the upstream concentration or the site concentration).
Cells will indicate "PRG<0" if the contribution of water alone results in a tissue concentration greater than the TTL.*

Sorry about that. Please let me know if you have any questions.

John

Thank you,
Jen Woronets ☺
Anchor QEA, LLC
jworonets@anchorqea.com
421 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 750
Portland, OR 97204
503-972-5014

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at jworonets@anchorqea.com

From: John Toll [<mailto:JohnT@windwardenv.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2015 3:06 PM
To: 'Kristine Koch (Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov)'
Cc: 'Jim McKenna (jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com)'; Jen Woronets; Suzanne Replinger
Subject: calculation workbook for the updated congener bioaccumulation models

Kristine - Attached please find the calculation workbook for the updated congener bioaccumulation models. The workbook contains three tabs. The first tab is the PRG calculation worksheet. It has the same structure as the PRG calculation spreadsheet from last August that you are familiar with. The second tab provides a summary of the calibrated chemical-specific parameter values, and a model performance statistic (the species predictive accuracy factor or SPAF). The third tab is interesting in that it shows that for the two dioxin congeners modeled, a relatively high fraction of total exposure came from dioxin dissolved in the water column. That prompted us to take a closer look. We found that non-detects in the dissolved water fraction were high. 2,3,7,8-TCDD was only detected in dissolved water in one out of 26 samples (from the low flow stormwater event), meaning that the water concentration estimate used to calibrate the model is based primarily on non-detects. 1,2,3,7,8-PCDD was detected in seven out of 26 samples. Further analysis revealed that using 1/2 DL water concentration estimates for these dioxin congeners gave water concentration estimates that were higher than one would expect given the sediment SWACs. So, we had water contributing more than expected to fish tissue congener concentrations for the two dioxin congeners, and water concentration estimates based on 1/2 DL that were higher than expected given the SWACs. Because of this we did a sensitivity analysis using a different method for estimating water concentrations for the two dioxin congeners. The second method used one-half of the lowest detection limit from all the water sampling events as the replacement value for all the ND samples, and it excluded the low flow stormwater sample on the possibility that this event might be an outlier, or that events such as the low flow stormwater event samples might occur relatively infrequently (in which case they'd be overrepresented in the data set). The calibration results from the sensitivity run are presented on the second tab. The calibrations performed equally well for the two sets of assumptions, but the PRGs are likely to be somewhat sensitive to how the uncertainty about dissolved dioxin concentrations in the water column is handled. The PRG calculation worksheet (first tab) will calculate the dioxin congener PRGs both ways once you enter your target tissue concentrations.

We are working now on the revisions that you've asked us to make to the bioaccumulation modeling report. If you have any questions about the calculation workbook, please feel free to contact me through Jim McKenna.

John

John Toll, Ph.D.
Partner, Windward Environmental LLC
200 West Mercer Street, Suite 401 | Seattle, WA 98119
206.812.5433 (o) | 206.913.3292 (c)
johnt@windwardenv.com | www.windwardenv.com